UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,733	07/25/2007	D'art Daniel David Braeder	679-009	9559
22429 7590 08/19/2010 LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300			EXAMINER	
			PRANGE, SHARON M	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Community	10/598,733	BRAEDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SHARON M. PRANGE	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/1	5/09					
	s action is non-final.					
<i>i</i>						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,					
· <u>_</u>	annlication					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-27 and 29-41</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-27 and 29-41</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
	, oloolon roquironioni.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment/s)						
Attachment(s) 1) \(\overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) T Interview Summers	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/598,733 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/15/09 has been entered. Claims 22, 23, 27, 39, and 40 have been amended, claim 41 has been added, and claims 22-27 and 29-41 are pending in this application.

The previous objections to claims 23 and 40 and 35 USC 112 rejection of claims 27 and 39 have been withdrawn in light of Applicant's amendment to claim 23, 27, 39, and 40.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 22-27, 29-31, 36, 37, 40, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP-3059476, herein JP '476, in view of Rosen et al. (US 7,241,066), herein Rosen, and Suchan et al. (US 7,625,114), herein Suchan.

JP '476 discloses a dispensing device having a respective receptacle (3) for each of two substances (A, B), and a line of fold (2) between the receptacles. The device is foldable about the line of fold so that the receptacles are superimposed (Fig. 1, 3). A

rupturable outlet (5) is defined for each receptacle. The outlets converge towards the line of fold (Fig. 2). When the device is folded the outlets are superposed for dispensing and mixing the two substances, and may be manipulated by a user in a one-handed operation (Fig. 5). The receptacles and outlets are disposed so that they are transposed mirror images of each other about the line of fold. The outlets include a weakened region in the form of a tear line (6). The device has two flexible laminae (3, 7) which are sealed together to define the two receptacle (Fig. 2). The outlets are capable of being aligned in a one-handed operation and the substances simultaneously dispensed, mixed, and applied (Fig. 5).

JP '476 does not disclose indicia on the device.

Rosen teaches providing indicia on a single-use dispensing device in order to instruct a user how to open and use the device (column 6, lines 32-50; column 10, lines 46-55; column 24, lines 30-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided indicia, as taught by Rosen, on the device of JP '476 in order to help instruct a user on how to open and use the device.

The combination of JP '476 and Rosen discloses the claimed invention except for the specific arrangement and/or content of indicia (printed matter) set forth in the claim(s). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included indicia indicating the placement of a thumb and forefinger of a user since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the

invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. *In re Gulack*, 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of indicia does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Thus,

there is no novel and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter e.g.

indicia and the substrate e.g. dispensing device which is required for patentability.

Page 4

The combination of JP '476 and Rosen does not disclose that the receptacles have a circular base with a flat upper surface. JP '476 does teach that the receptacles may be one a variety of different shapes (as seen in Fig. 1, 6), and that the receptacle has a flat upper surface (Fig. 1, 3). Suchan teaches that a dispensing receptacle (depression 3, 4) may be circular in shape (Fig. 9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have made the receptacles JP '476 a circular shape, as taught by Suchan, as this would be a matter of obvious design choice. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47.

Regarding claims 30 and 31, the combination of JP '476, Rosen, and Suchan discloses the claimed invention except for the material of the laminae. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used, for example, foil or polyethylene in order to use inexpensive materials which are watertight. It has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art

to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding claims 41, the combination of JP '476, Rosen, and Suchan discloses the general conditions of the claimed invention except for the express disclosure of radius of the base and top of the receptacles. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the radius of the base approximately 7.5 mm and the radius of the top approximately 5 mm, since the claimed values are merely an optimum or workable range. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

4. Claims 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '476, Rosen, and Suchan, as applied to claims 22-27, 29-31, 36, 37, and 40, further in view of Fukushima (US Patent No. 4,790,429).

JP '476 does not disclose the type of substances stored in the receptacles.

Fukushima teaches that foodstuffs or medical substances may be stored in a single-use dispensing device with two separate receptacles (column 1, lines 48-51). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided foodstuffs or medical substances in the dispensing device of JP '476 as it is well known to provide these substances in a container in which they are stored separately but then dispensed and mixed simultaneously.

5. Claims 32, 33, 38, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '476, Rosen, and Suchan, as applied to claims 22-27, 29-31, 36, 37, and 40, further in view of Bollmeier (US Patent No. 3,074,544).

JP '476 does not disclose the type of substances stored in the receptacles.

Bollmeier teaches that epoxy and a hardener (curing agent) may be stored in a single-use dispensing device with two separate receptacles (column 1, lines 61-70). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided epoxy and a hardener in the dispensing device of JP '476 as it is well known to provide these substances in a container in which they are stored separately but then mixed together and dispensed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHARON M. PRANGE whose telephone number is (571)270-5280. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,733 Page 7

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. M. P./ 8/13/10 Examiner, Art Unit 3728 /Mickey Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3728